Kapitel Nr.

INSIGHTS FROM RECENT SURVEY-BASED EXPERIMENTS

Prof. Grischa Perino Universität Hamburg

GEFÖRDERT VOM





PARTICIPATION IN FRIDAYS-FOR-FUTURE PROTESTS

> Research question: How does the propensity of citizens to participate in a rally depend on expected turnout?

> Case study (N = 1,510): Global climate strike on 20th Sept. 2019 (Berlin, Hamburg, Cologne, Munich)

> Findings:

- > Simple correlation of expectations and own participation: *positive* relationship
- > Causal evidence from exogenously induced change in expectations: *negative* relationship

> Implications:

- > Decentral protest strategy of Fridays-for-Future ideal to deal with this pattern
- > Protest size was at its peak in Sept. 2019 (even) in the absence of major shocks (COVID)

GEFÖRDERT VOM



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

Article https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01833-

Received: 30 November 2022 Johannes Jarke-Neuert¹², Grischa Perino ©²³ & Henrike Schwickert ©³ Accepted: 6 September 2023

SUPPORT FOR MORE STRINGENT COAL PHASE-OUT VS. TIGHTER CAP-AND-TRADE

> Research questions:

- > Do German citizens prefer an earlier coal phase-out or a tighter cap in the EU ETS?
- Can opinion polls be used to predict voting in a referendum?
- > Case study (N = 1,200): Choice/vote between real
 - > EUA cancellation
 - > Emission reduction in coal-fired power plant
 - > Mix of the two

GEFÖRDERT VOM



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

> Findings:

- > Mix is preferred without advice on effectiveness
- **>** Cap reduction preferred with advice
- > Voting behaviour differs from individual preferences even if both are consequential
- > Implications:
 - Strategic aspect of voting might be hard to capture, unless surveys contains an actual vote
 - > Explaining the impact of policy instruments is important for support.
 - > Knowledge on instrument impacts is scant (also among policy-makers, N = 59)
 Titel | 3

SUPPORT FOR MEAT TAX

> Research questions:

> How does support for a tax on meat depend on design?

> Case study (N = 2,800): Hypothetical votes on meat tax

> Tax levels

> Climate change vs. animal welfare

> Uniform (per kg meat) vs. differentiated (per kg CO₂)

KOPERNIKUS Ariadne PROJEKTE Die Zukunft unserer Energie Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

GEFÖRDERT VOM

nature food

Article

9

Animal welfare is a stronger determinant of public support for meat taxation than climate change mitigation in Germany

Received: 6 July 2022

Grischa Perino ©^{1,2,3} & Henrike Schwickert ©^{1,3} 🖂

Accepted: 10 January 2023

> Findings:

- > Fee proposed by German ministry's expert commission has majority support
- > Animal welfare more effective
- > No preference over uniform vs. differentiated tax
- > Implications:
 - > Start with moderate tax level
 - > Justify with animal welfare
 - > Use differentiated tax

MESSAGES THAT REDUCE MEAT CONSUMPTION

> Research question:

- > Which messages reduce meat consumption?
- > Case study (N = 561): Food diaries
 - > Health
 - > Animal welfare
 - > Climate change



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Journal of Environmental Economics and Management journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jeem



Check for updates

Meaty arguments and fishy effects: Field experimental evidence on the impact of reasons to reduce meat consumption[‡] Grischa Perino^{a,*}, Claudia Schwirplies^b

> Findings:

- Animal welfare message most effective in reducing meat consumption
- > Animal welfare & climate change message reduce enjoyment of meat consumption
- > Implications:
 - > Messages can change behaviour (at least in the short run)
 - > Animal welfare more effective in meat context than climate change

GEFÖRDERT VOM



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

REFERENCES

- Jarke-Neuert, J., Perino, G., & Schwickert, H. (2023). Free riding in climate protests. Nature Climate Change, 13(11), 1197–1202. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01833-y</u>
- Perino, G., & Schwickert, H. (2023). Animal welfare is a stronger determinant of public support for meat taxation than climate change mitigation in Germany. *Nature Food*, 4(2), 160-169. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-023-00696-y</u>
- Perino, G., & Schwirplies, C. (2022). Meaty arguments and fishy effects: Field experimental evidence on the impact of reasons to reduce meat consumption. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 114, 102667.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2022.102667
- Paper on coal phase-out vs. EU ETS is still work in progress. Further details available on demand (<u>grischa.perino@uni-hamburg.de</u>)

KOPERNIKUS MRE >>> PROJEKTE Die Zukunft unserer Energie Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

GEFÖRDERT VOM