Kapitel Nr.

## INSIGHTS FROM RECENT SURVEY-BASED EXPERIMENTS

Prof. Grischa Perino Universität Hamburg

GEFÖRDERT VOM





## PARTICIPATION IN FRIDAYS-FOR-FUTURE PROTESTS

> Research question: How does the propensity of citizens to participate in a rally depend on expected turnout?

> Case study (N = 1,510): Global climate strike on 20<sup>th</sup> Sept. 2019 (Berlin, Hamburg, Cologne, Munich)

**>** Findings:

- > Simple correlation of expectations and own participation: *positive* relationship
- > Causal evidence from exogenously induced change in expectations: *negative* relationship

> Implications:

- > Decentral protest strategy of Fridays-for-Future ideal to deal with this pattern
- > Protest size was at its peak in Sept. 2019 (even) in the absence of major shocks (COVID)

GEFÖRDERT VOM



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

# Article https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01833-

Received: 30 November 2022 Johannes Jarke-Neuert<sup>12</sup>, Grischa Perino ©<sup>23</sup> & Henrike Schwickert ©<sup>3</sup> Accepted: 6 September 2023

## SUPPORT FOR MORE STRINGENT COAL PHASE-OUT VS. TIGHTER CAP-AND-TRADE

### > Research questions:

- > Do German citizens prefer an earlier coal phase-out or a tighter cap in the EU ETS?
- Can opinion polls be used to predict voting in a referendum?
- > Case study (N = 1,200): Choice/vote between real
  - > EUA cancellation
  - > Emission reduction in coal-fired power plant
  - > Mix of the two

GEFÖRDERT VOM



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

## > Findings:

- > Mix is preferred without advice on effectiveness
- **>** Cap reduction preferred with advice
- > Voting behaviour differs from individual preferences even if both are consequential
- > Implications:
  - Strategic aspect of voting might be hard to capture, unless surveys contains an actual vote
  - > Explaining the impact of policy instruments is important for support.
  - > Knowledge on instrument impacts is scant (also among policy-makers, N = 59)
    Titel | 3

## SUPPORT FOR MEAT TAX

### > Research questions:

> How does support for a tax on meat depend on design?

**>** Case study (N = 2,800): Hypothetical votes on meat tax

> Tax levels

> Climate change vs. animal welfare

> Uniform (per kg meat) vs. differentiated (per kg CO<sub>2</sub>)

KOPERNIKUS Ariadne PROJEKTE Die Zukunft unserer Energie Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

GEFÖRDERT VOM

#### nature food

Article

9

### Animal welfare is a stronger determinant of public support for meat taxation than climate change mitigation in Germany

#### Received: 6 July 2022

Grischa Perino ©<sup>1,2,3</sup> & Henrike Schwickert ©<sup>1,3</sup> 🖂

Accepted: 10 January 2023

### > Findings:

- > Fee proposed by German ministry's expert commission has majority support
- > Animal welfare more effective
- > No preference over uniform vs. differentiated tax
- > Implications:
  - > Start with moderate tax level
  - > Justify with animal welfare
  - > Use differentiated tax

## MESSAGES THAT REDUCE MEAT CONSUMPTION

**>** Research question:

- > Which messages reduce meat consumption?
- > Case study (N = 561): Food diaries
  - > Health
  - > Animal welfare
  - > Climate change



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Journal of Environmental Economics and Management journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jeem



Check for updates

Meaty arguments and fishy effects: Field experimental evidence on the impact of reasons to reduce meat consumption<sup>‡</sup> Grischa Perino<sup>a,\*</sup>, Claudia Schwirplies<sup>b</sup>

### > Findings:

- Animal welfare message most effective in reducing meat consumption
- > Animal welfare & climate change message reduce enjoyment of meat consumption
- > Implications:
  - > Messages can change behaviour (at least in the short run)
  - > Animal welfare more effective in meat context than climate change

GEFÖRDERT VOM



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

## REFERENCES

- Jarke-Neuert, J., Perino, G., & Schwickert, H. (2023). Free riding in climate protests. Nature Climate Change, 13(11), 1197–1202. <u>https://www.doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01833-y</u>
- Perino, G., & Schwickert, H. (2023). Animal welfare is a stronger determinant of public support for meat taxation than climate change mitigation in Germany. *Nature Food*, 4(2), 160-169. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s43016-023-00696-y</u>
- Perino, G., & Schwirplies, C. (2022). Meaty arguments and fishy effects: Field experimental evidence on the impact of reasons to reduce meat consumption. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 114, 102667.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2022.102667">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2022.102667</a>
- Paper on coal phase-out vs. EU ETS is still work in progress. Further details available on demand (<u>grischa.perino@uni-hamburg.de</u>)

KOPERNIKUS MRE >>> PROJEKTE Die Zukunft unserer Energie Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

GEFÖRDERT VOM